

WHO CORRUPTS WHO?

Understanding the People, Systems
and Cultures That Sustain Corruption



A BOOK BY
ROBERT KORTEY APLA-KWEKU

BOB Publications
www.bobpublications.org

WHO CORRUPTS WHO?

*Understanding the People, Systems and Cultures
That Sustain Corruption*

A Book by Robert Kortey Apla-Kweku

BOB Publications

www.bobpublications.org

PREFACE

Corruption is often discussed as though it were a problem caused only by a few dishonest individuals. Yet corruption can be much more complicated. It can involve people, institutions, relationships, incentives, habits and social expectations. It can grow quietly until practices that were once considered unacceptable begin to appear normal.

WHO CORRUPTS WHO? was written to encourage a deeper examination of that reality. The central question of this book is deliberately uncomfortable: when corruption occurs, who is responsible for creating, enabling, accepting, protecting or sustaining it?

The book therefore looks beyond the obvious act of bribery or misuse of public resources. It considers the roles of those who offer and receive improper benefits, those who facilitate corrupt transactions, those who benefit from them, institutions that fail to prevent them, and societies that sometimes become accustomed to corruption.

This is not a book written to accuse an entire profession, institution or society. It is an invitation to reflection. Corruption can exist wherever entrusted power, personal interest and weak accountability meet. Understanding that relationship is essential if meaningful change is to occur.

The chapters that follow examine corruption from individual, institutional, political, economic, social and international perspectives. They also explore the consequences of corruption and the responsibilities of individuals and institutions in building a culture of integrity.

My hope is that this book will encourage readers to ask difficult questions, examine their own choices, demand accountability where it is necessary, and recognise that integrity is not merely the responsibility of people in positions of authority. It is a responsibility shared by society as a whole.

The question “Who corrupts who?” therefore remains at the heart of this work. But the reader may ultimately discover another question: “What can I do to prevent corruption from becoming normal?”

That question is where the journey toward integrity begins.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION: The Paradox of Condemnation and Perpetuation

PART ONE: DECONSTRUCTING CORRUPTION — BEYOND THE SURFACE

CHAPTER ONE: WHAT IS CORRUPTION, REALLY?

CHAPTER TWO: THE ANATOMY OF A CORRUPT ACT:
WHO ARE THE PLAYERS?

CHAPTER THREE: THE POISONED WELL OF POWER:
POLITICAL CORRUPTION

CHAPTER FOUR: THE ENGINE OF GREED: ECONOMIC AND
CORPORATE CORRUPTION

CHAPTER FIVE: THE FABRIC OF SOCIETY: SOCIAL AND
CULTURAL CORRUPTION

CHAPTER SIX: THE ENABLERS AND THE EYES THAT
DON'T SEE: INSTITUTIONAL BLIND SPOTS

PART THREE: THE RIPPLES OF CORRUPTION

CHAPTER SEVEN: THE ECONOMIC DRAIN: STUNTED
GROWTH AND INCREASED INEQUALITY

CHAPTER EIGHT: THE SOCIAL DECAY: PUBLIC SERVICES,
JUSTICE AND HUMAN DIGNITY

CHAPTER NINE: THE POLITICAL FALLOUT: WEAKENING
DEMOCRACY AND FUELING INSTABILITY

**PART FOUR: RECLAIMING INTEGRITY — PATHWAYS TO
CHANGE**

CHAPTER TEN: THE POWER OF TRANSPARENCY AND
ACCOUNTABILITY: BUILDING BETTER SYSTEMS

CHAPTER ELEVEN: THE INDIVIDUAL'S ROLE:
CULTIVATING A CULTURE OF INTEGRITY

CHAPTER TWELVE: THE GLOBAL FIGHT:
INTERNATIONAL COOPERATION AND BEST PRACTICES

**CONCLUSION: THE CONTINUOUS STRUGGLE FOR A
CORRUPT-FREE SOCIETY**

AUTHOR'S FINAL REFLECTION

SELECTED BIBLIOGRAPHY

INTRODUCTION

The Paradox of Condemnation and Perpetuation

Corruption is one of the most frequently condemned social problems in the modern world. Governments condemn it. Religious leaders preach against it. Civil society organisations campaign against it. Journalists expose it. Citizens complain about it. Political candidates promise to fight it. Yet corruption continues to appear in public institutions, private businesses, political organisations, communities and even ordinary everyday transactions.

This presents a troubling paradox.

If almost everyone condemns corruption, why does corruption continue?

Perhaps the question is not simply, “Who is corrupt?” Perhaps we should ask a more uncomfortable question:

Who corrupts who?

The question changes the entire discussion.

When a public official accepts a bribe, it is easy to point a finger at the official and declare that the person is corrupt. But what about the individual or company that offered the bribe? What about the person who arranged the transaction? What about those who knew what was happening but remained silent? What about the institution whose weaknesses made the transaction possible? What about the society that has gradually become accustomed to saying, “That is how things are done?”

Corruption rarely exists in isolation.

A corrupt act may involve a giver, a receiver, an intermediary, a beneficiary, a silent observer and a weak system. Sometimes the relationship is even more complicated. A person who once resisted corruption may eventually participate because refusing to participate has become costly. An honest employee may discover that colleagues who manipulate the system are rewarded while those who follow the rules are ignored.

This raises another important question:

Does a corrupt system corrupt individuals, or do corrupt individuals corrupt the system?

The answer may be that both can happen.

Individuals create systems, but systems also shape individual behaviour. A dishonest official can damage an institution, but an institution without effective accountability can also encourage dishonest behaviour.

This book therefore does not intend merely to identify corrupt people. Its deeper purpose is to examine the relationships, incentives, habits, institutions and cultural attitudes that allow corruption to survive.

Corruption is not only about money hidden in envelopes. It can take the form of bribery, embezzlement, nepotism, favouritism, procurement manipulation, abuse of office, influence peddling, fraud, conflict of interest and the misuse of public resources.

Sometimes corruption is obvious.

At other times, it wears respectable clothing.

It may appear as a “gift.”

It may be described as “appreciation.”

It may be called “something small.”

It may be justified by saying, “Everybody does it.”

And therein lies one of corruption's greatest strengths: the ability to disguise wrongdoing as normal behaviour.

This book therefore asks readers to look beyond the individual transaction and examine the ecosystem surrounding it.

Who initiates corruption?

Who accepts it?

Who benefits from it?

Who facilitates it?

Who protects it?

Who remains silent?

Who suffers from it?

And, perhaps most importantly:

Who corrupts who?

PART ONE

DECONSTRUCTING CORRUPTION — BEYOND THE SURFACE

CHAPTER ONE

WHAT IS CORRUPTION, REALLY?

Corruption is easy to condemn but sometimes difficult to define.

When people hear the word corruption, they often immediately think of a government official taking money illegally. While bribery is certainly one form of corruption, corruption is much broader than that.

At its heart, corruption involves the abuse of entrusted power for improper or private advantage.

The word entrusted is particularly important.

A public official is entrusted with public authority. A teacher is entrusted with the education of students. A police officer is entrusted with enforcing the law. A judge is entrusted with administering justice. A business manager is entrusted with organisational resources. A religious leader is entrusted with spiritual responsibilities.

Whenever entrusted responsibility is deliberately used for an improper personal or private advantage, the foundations of integrity are weakened.

Corruption Is More Than Taking Money

Not every corrupt act involves cash.

Imagine a manager who gives an important position to an unqualified relative while deliberately ignoring more qualified candidates.

No money may have changed hands.

Yet something valuable has been taken away: fairness.

Consider a government official who awards a contract to a close associate despite the existence of better-qualified competitors.

Again, the corruption may not look like a traditional bribe.

Or consider an employee who deliberately delays a person's application until the applicant provides an unofficial payment.

The money is only one part of the problem. The deeper problem is the abuse of power.

Corruption therefore concerns not merely what is taken but also how power is exercised.

The Spectrum of Corruption

Corruption exists on different levels.

Petty Corruption

Petty corruption often involves relatively small amounts of money or minor abuses of authority.

A person may pay an unofficial fee to speed up a service that should have been provided normally.

Because the amount may be small, people sometimes convince themselves that the act is insignificant.

But small corruption can have large consequences when multiplied across an entire society.

If thousands of people regularly make unofficial payments, corruption becomes embedded in everyday life.

Grand Corruption

Grand corruption involves significant abuse of power and public resources, often at higher levels of authority.

It may involve large contracts, major public projects, natural resources, government procurement or substantial financial transactions.

The financial consequences can be enormous because the resources involved are public resources.

When money intended for roads, hospitals, schools or water systems disappears through corrupt arrangements, the public does not merely lose money.

The public loses development.

Political Corruption

Political corruption occurs when political power or public authority is abused for private, partisan or other improper interests.

It can include bribery, misuse of state resources, patronage, improper influence, conflicts of interest and manipulation of public institutions.

Political corruption is particularly dangerous because political institutions have the authority to establish and enforce the rules by which society operates.

Systemic Corruption

The most difficult form of corruption to confront may be systemic corruption.

Here, corruption is no longer simply an unusual violation of the rules.

It becomes part of the way the system operates.

People may begin to believe that obtaining a government service requires payment, securing employment requires connections, winning a contract requires influence, or succeeding in business requires knowing the right people.

At this stage, corruption becomes more than individual misconduct.

It becomes a social expectation.

When Wrong Becomes Normal

One of the most dangerous stages of corruption occurs when society stops being shocked by it.

A person may hear that a public official has misused public funds and simply respond:

“They are all doing it.”

That statement may appear harmless, but it represents a serious social problem.

When wrongdoing becomes expected, people stop demanding integrity.

The question changes from: “Is this right?” to: “Why shouldn’t I do it when everyone else is doing it?”

This is how corruption reproduces itself.

One generation observes corrupt behaviour in the previous generation. Instead of rejecting it, some people learn to imitate it. Eventually, practices that were once considered shameful can become accepted as practical behaviour.

This does not mean that everyone becomes corrupt.

There will always be people who resist.

But resistance becomes more difficult when the surrounding environment rewards corruption and punishes integrity.

The Little Corruptions

Society often pays attention to large scandals while ignoring smaller dishonest behaviours.

Someone lies about a qualification.

Someone uses public property for personal purposes.

Someone manipulates a queue because of personal connections.

Someone recommends an unqualified relative for a position.

Someone accepts a payment to perform a duty that is already part of their job.

Someone alters information to obtain an advantage.

Each individual action may appear insignificant.

But corruption is often built from repeated small compromises.

A society that tolerates small dishonesty can eventually create an environment in which larger dishonesty becomes easier to justify.

The lesson is not that every small mistake is equivalent to grand corruption.

The lesson is that ethical habits matter.

People rarely wake up one morning and suddenly become deeply corrupt. In many cases, corruption develops through a series of compromises:

“Just this once.”

“It is only a small amount.”

“Nobody will know.”

“I deserve it.”

“Everyone does it.”

“If I don't take it, someone else will.”

Each justification makes the next compromise easier.

The Erosion of Trust

Perhaps corruption's greatest victim is not money.

It is trust.

A society cannot function effectively without trust.

Citizens must trust public institutions.

Employees must trust employers.

Students must trust educational institutions.

Patients must trust healthcare providers.

Businesses must trust regulatory systems.

People must believe that rules apply fairly.

When corruption becomes widespread, that trust begins to disappear.

A citizen who believes that public services can only be obtained through connections may stop respecting the institution.

A businessperson who believes that contracts are awarded through influence rather than merit may stop competing honestly.

A young person who repeatedly sees dishonest individuals becoming successful may begin to question whether integrity has any value.

This is why corruption is not merely a financial problem.

It is a moral and institutional problem.

The Central Question: Who Corrupts Who?

We can now return to the title of this book.

Who corrupts who?

Does the corrupt official corrupt the citizen who offers the bribe?

Does the citizen who offers the bribe corrupt the official who accepts it?

Does a weak institution corrupt the people working inside it?

Do corrupt individuals weaken institutions?

Does a culture that tolerates favouritism encourage individuals to practise favouritism?

Or do individuals gradually create the culture in which favouritism becomes normal?

Perhaps the most honest answer is that corruption can move in both directions.

The individual can corrupt the institution.

The institution can corrupt the individual.

The powerful can exploit the vulnerable.

The vulnerable can participate in sustaining the powerful.

The giver can influence the receiver.

The receiver can create an environment in which giving becomes necessary.

And the silent observer can unintentionally help both sides.

Therefore, fighting corruption requires more than finding someone to blame.

It requires understanding the relationship between the person and the system.

A Different Way of Looking at Corruption

If corruption is treated only as the behaviour of “bad people,” society may miss an important part of the problem.

What happens when an honest person enters a corrupt environment?

Will the person remain honest?

What happens when refusing a bribe means losing an opportunity?

What happens when reporting corruption leads to retaliation?

What happens when an employee discovers that corrupt colleagues are promoted while honest employees are marginalised?

These questions do not excuse corruption.

Rather, they help us understand how corruption survives.

A serious anti-corruption strategy must therefore address both: the character of individuals and the character of institutions.

Good people need systems that protect integrity.

Good systems also need people willing to defend them.

The Moral Choice

Ultimately, every discussion of corruption returns to a fundamental human choice.

What will I do when I have the opportunity to benefit unfairly?

Will I take advantage of another person's vulnerability?

Will I use my position for personal gain?

Will I remain silent when wrongdoing benefits me?

Will I participate because refusing to participate seems inconvenient?

Or will I choose integrity even when integrity carries a cost?

The fight against corruption therefore begins not only in parliament, ministries, corporations or courts.

It begins with ordinary decisions.

It begins wherever power is entrusted to a human being.

And that means the question “Who corrupts who?” is not merely a question for politicians, public officials or business leaders.

It is a question for all of us.

Chapter One: Key Reflection

1. Is corruption primarily an individual problem, an institutional problem, or both?
2. When does a small dishonest act become part of a culture of corruption?
3. Can a corrupt system influence an otherwise honest person?

4. Can individuals change a corrupt system?
5. Why do people sometimes condemn corruption while participating in it?
6. What role does silence play in sustaining corruption?
7. Who corrupts who?

The final question should remain with the reader throughout the rest of this book.

Because perhaps the most uncomfortable discovery is that corruption is not always something “they” do.

Sometimes the system is corrupt.

Sometimes the individual is corrupt.

Sometimes both corrupt each other.

And sometimes society itself provides the environment in which corruption is allowed to flourish.

The investigation has only begun.

CHAPTER TWO

THE ANATOMY OF A CORRUPT ACT: WHO ARE THE PLAYERS?

A corrupt act rarely involves only one person.

When corruption is exposed, public attention often focuses on the person who received the money or misused the authority. That person may indeed be responsible. But corruption frequently involves a network of relationships.

There may be the person who offers the bribe, the person who accepts it, the intermediary who connects them, the institution that fails to

detect it, the beneficiary who profits from it and the witnesses who know what happened but choose silence.

To understand corruption, therefore, we must understand its players.

The Corruptor

The corruptor is the person who initiates, encourages or actively participates in an improper transaction.

This person may offer money to obtain a contract, provide a gift to influence a decision, manipulate information to secure an advantage or use personal connections to bypass established procedures.

The corruptor may be a wealthy businessperson, an ordinary citizen, a professional, a politician or even someone who believes that they have no alternative.

This raises an important question:

Can a person who offers a bribe claim to be merely responding to corruption?

Suppose someone approaches an official and says, “I will give you something if you approve my application.”

The official has a choice.

But so does the person making the offer.

Corruption is therefore frequently a relationship of mutual participation.

The Corrupted

The corrupted person is usually the individual who allows personal benefit to influence the proper exercise of entrusted responsibility.

Sometimes this person is actively looking for an opportunity.

At other times, the opportunity is presented to them.

A public servant who refuses a bribe demonstrates that an opportunity does not automatically produce corruption.

The existence of temptation does not remove personal responsibility.

The Facilitator

Between the corruptor and the corrupted there may be a third person.

The facilitator may arrange meetings, transfer money, manipulate documents, provide confidential information or connect people who otherwise would never have met.

The facilitator can be especially difficult to identify because they may not receive the main benefit.

Yet without the facilitator, the transaction might never occur.

The Beneficiary

There are also people who benefit without directly participating.

A company may receive a contract because someone inside the organisation influenced the process.

A relative may obtain a position because an influential person intervened.

A political supporter may receive an advantage because of loyalty.

The beneficiary may know little about the arrangement—or may know everything.

The Silent Witness

Perhaps the most overlooked participant is the person who knows something is wrong but says nothing.

Silence does not always mean complicity. People may remain silent because they are afraid, uncertain or powerless.

But when silence becomes widespread, corruption gains protection.

If everyone sees wrongdoing but nobody speaks, the corrupt actor receives an important message:

“You can continue.”

The System

Finally, there is the system itself.

A poorly designed procurement process, weak internal control, lack of transparency or ineffective oversight may create opportunities for corruption.

This does not mean that systems are morally responsible in the same way people are.

Rather, systems can either discourage corruption or make corruption easier.

This is why fighting corruption requires both personal accountability and institutional reform.

Who, Then, Corrupts Who?

The corruptor may corrupt the official.

The official may create conditions that encourage future bribes.

The facilitator may connect both sides.

The beneficiary may reward the corrupt behaviour.

The institution may fail to stop it.

The silent witness may allow it to continue.

Corruption therefore behaves less like a single act and more like a chain.

Break the chain at one point, and the entire process can become more difficult.

CHAPTER THREE

THE POISONED WELL OF POWER: POLITICAL CORRUPTION

Power is necessary for governing society.

But power without accountability can become dangerous.

Political corruption occurs when public authority is used improperly for private, partisan or other improper advantage.

The problem is not that politicians or public officials possess power.

The problem arises when power becomes detached from responsibility.

Public Office Is a Trust

A public office does not belong personally to the person occupying it.

The office is held in trust.

Public resources do not become private property simply because someone has authority over them.

This principle is fundamental to responsible government.

When public authority is converted into private advantage, the distinction between public service and personal enrichment begins to disappear.

Patronage and Favouritism

Political systems can become vulnerable when appointments and opportunities are distributed primarily according to loyalty, friendship or family connections rather than competence and fairness.

A person may be qualified and still be overlooked because another person has better connections.

Over time, people may stop asking:

“Who is most qualified?”

and begin asking:

“Who do you know?”

That is a dangerous transformation.

Campaigns, Money and Influence

Politics requires resources.

Campaigns require organisation, communication and financing.

But the relationship between money and political influence can become problematic when financial support creates expectations of special treatment.

The central question is not simply whether money entered politics.

It is:

What was expected in return?

Legitimate political participation and improper influence must not be confused.

State Capture

The most serious form of political corruption may occur when powerful private interests gain disproportionate influence over public institutions and decisions.

At that point, government may begin serving narrow interests rather than the broader public.

The state still exists.

Institutions still function.

Elections may still occur.

But the substance of public decision-making may have been distorted.

The Vicious Cycle

Political corruption can reproduce itself.

Corrupt political financing can produce corrupt political decisions.

Those decisions can create economic advantages for particular groups.

Those groups can then use their resources to influence future political decisions.

The cycle continues.

This is why political corruption is not simply a matter of individual morality.

It is also a question of institutional design, transparency and accountability.

Who Corrupts Who?

Does money corrupt politics?

Does politics create opportunities for money to influence government?

Does political power attract corrupt business interests?

Or do business interests gradually corrupt political institutions?

Again, the relationship can move in both directions.

The solution therefore requires a system in which power is answerable to the public.

CHAPTER FOUR

THE ENGINE OF GREED: ECONOMIC AND CORPORATE CORRUPTION

Corruption does not belong exclusively to government.

The private sector can also participate in corruption.

Businesses depend on rules, contracts, licences, access to markets and government decisions. When competition is distorted through bribery, fraud or secret arrangements, corruption can become an economic strategy.

The Bribe as a Business Expense

A dishonest company may regard bribery as simply another cost of doing business.

That mentality is dangerous.

Once bribery becomes an accepted business expense, companies may compete not on the quality or price of their products but on their ability to influence decision-makers.

The honest company is then placed at a disadvantage.

Kickbacks

Kickbacks involve secretly returning part of a payment or contract value to someone who helped secure the transaction.

The public may see a legitimate contract.

Behind the contract, however, may be a hidden financial arrangement.

The danger is that the price of the project can become inflated to accommodate the secret payment.

Ultimately, someone pays.

Often, that someone is the public.

Fraud and Embezzlement

Corporate corruption can also involve manipulating accounts, diverting funds, falsifying expenses or abusing organisational resources.

Such actions damage more than finances.

They damage confidence.

Employees begin to distrust management.

Investors lose confidence.

Customers become suspicious.

The organisation's reputation suffers.

Monopolies and Cartels

When competitors secretly cooperate to manipulate prices or divide markets, consumers may suffer.

Competition exists partly to protect consumers from excessive power.

When competition is deliberately weakened, economic power can become concentrated.

The Offshore Question

Modern financial systems can make it difficult to determine where money originated, where it travelled and who ultimately benefited.

This does not mean that every offshore financial arrangement is corrupt.

The problem arises when secrecy and complex structures are used to hide illicit proceeds, ownership or financial transactions.

Who Pays for Corporate Corruption?

The immediate beneficiaries may be a few individuals.

The eventual cost may be distributed across thousands or millions of people.

Consumers may pay higher prices.

Governments may lose revenue.

Workers may lose opportunities.

Competitors may be unfairly excluded.

The economy may become less productive.

Thus, corporate corruption can transform private greed into public cost.

CHAPTER FIVE

THE FABRIC OF SOCIETY: SOCIAL AND CULTURAL CORRUPTION

Corruption does not exist in a vacuum.

It grows within societies.

Every society develops attitudes about what is acceptable, unacceptable, admirable or shameful.

When corrupt practices become socially tolerated, corruption becomes much harder to fight.

Nepotism

Family loyalty is important in many cultures.

Supporting family members is not automatically corruption.

The problem arises when family relationships are used to bypass fairness, competence and established procedures.

The distinction is crucial.

Helping someone because they are qualified and deserving is different from giving them an opportunity solely because they are related to you.

Gifts and Gratitude

Gift-giving can be a legitimate expression of appreciation.

But a gift can become corrupt when it is intended to influence an official decision.

The question is therefore not merely:

“Was a gift given?”

It is:

“Why was it given?”

Everyone Does It

Few statements are more dangerous to ethical behaviour than:

“Everyone does it.”

Even if corruption is widespread, widespread wrongdoing does not become right simply because it is common.

The statement also creates psychological permission.

If everyone is supposedly corrupt, then refusing to participate may seem foolish.

Apathy

Citizens who become convinced that nothing can change may stop demanding accountability.

Apathy becomes fertile ground for corruption.

The corrupt person does not necessarily need everyone's support.

Sometimes all they need is everyone's resignation.

The Social Price of Integrity

An honest person may sometimes experience pressure.

Friends may say:

“Why don't you take advantage of the opportunity?”

Colleagues may say:

“You are too strict.”

Others may suggest:

“Take something small.”

Integrity can become difficult when society rewards compromise.
Yet every culture is ultimately shaped by repeated choices.
If enough people reject corruption, social expectations can change.

CHAPTER SIX

THE ENABLERS AND THE EYES THAT DON'T SEE: INSTITUTIONAL BLIND SPOTS

Corruption thrives where accountability is weak.

An institution does not need to be deliberately corrupt to become vulnerable to corruption.

Sometimes corruption grows through neglect.

Weak Institutions

When rules exist only on paper, people learn that rules do not matter.

When disciplinary procedures are rarely enforced, misconduct becomes less risky.

When investigations take too long, people may lose confidence in the process.

When powerful individuals appear untouchable, the message is devastating:

The law may not apply equally.

Transparency

Secrecy creates opportunity.

Transparent procedures make it easier to examine decisions.

Public procurement, recruitment, budgeting and the management of public resources should therefore be subject to appropriate scrutiny.

Transparency does not guarantee honesty.

But secrecy can make dishonesty much easier to conceal.

Oversight Bodies

Auditors, investigators, regulators and anti-corruption institutions exist to provide checks and balances.

Their effectiveness depends on independence, resources, professionalism and the willingness of authorities to act on their findings.

An oversight institution without meaningful power can become merely decorative.

The Media

Journalists can expose corruption and give citizens information necessary for accountability.

But journalism itself requires integrity.

A media organisation that suppresses an important story because of financial or political interests can become part of the problem.

The Eyes That Don't See

Sometimes people see corruption but decide it is not their responsibility.

They tell themselves:

“That is not my department.”

“Someone else will report it.”

“I don't want trouble.”

“Nothing will happen anyway.”

Every such decision may appear small.

Collectively, however, silence creates protection for wrongdoing.

The Institutional Question

We must therefore ask:

What kind of institution makes corruption difficult?

The answer involves clear rules, transparency, effective supervision, independent oversight, credible sanctions and protection for those who report wrongdoing.

CHAPTER SEVEN

THE ECONOMIC DRAIN: STUNTED GROWTH AND INCREASED INEQUALITY

Corruption has an economic price.

Money diverted through corruption is money unavailable for its intended purpose.

A project may be delayed.

A road may be poorly constructed.

A school may lack materials.

A hospital may lack essential resources.

A public programme may fail to achieve its objectives.

Misallocation of Resources

Public resources are limited.

Every unnecessary or inflated expenditure represents an opportunity cost.

When money is diverted from productive purposes, society loses potential development.

The Poor Pay More

Corruption can disproportionately harm people with limited resources.

A wealthy person may be able to pay an unofficial fee.

A poor person may not.

Thus corruption can transform public services into privileges available according to ability to pay.

Investment and Business

Businesses need predictable rules.

If companies believe that success depends on bribery or political connections, honest investment can become less attractive.

Entrepreneurs may spend more time seeking influence than improving products and services.

That is economically destructive.

Inequality

Corruption can concentrate wealth and opportunity among people who already possess power and connections.

This can deepen inequality.

The problem is therefore not simply that some people become richer.

It is that the rules of opportunity become distorted.

CHAPTER EIGHT

THE SOCIAL DECAY: PUBLIC SERVICES, JUSTICE AND HUMAN DIGNITY

Corruption eventually reaches ordinary people.

A person may never meet a senior official involved in a major scandal.

Yet that person may experience the consequences through poor services.

Education

When educational resources are mismanaged, students may study in inadequate environments.

When recruitment and promotion are influenced by improper considerations, professional standards can suffer.

Education is particularly important because today's institutional weaknesses can become tomorrow's social problems.

Healthcare

Healthcare depends on resources, professional standards and trust.

When corruption interferes with procurement, staffing or access to services, vulnerable people can suffer.

Justice

Justice loses meaning when people believe that outcomes can be purchased.

A justice system must be seen as fair.

If people believe that money or connections determine outcomes, confidence in the rule of law weakens.

Social Cohesion

Trust is the glue of society.

When people believe that everyone is pursuing private advantage, cooperation becomes harder.

Citizens begin to protect themselves rather than contribute to collective goals.

Corruption can therefore produce a society in which suspicion replaces solidarity.

CHAPTER NINE

THE POLITICAL FALLOUT: WEAKENING DEMOCRACY AND FUELING INSTABILITY

Corruption does not end with financial loss.

It can damage political legitimacy.

Loss of Confidence

Citizens who repeatedly encounter corruption may conclude that government institutions do not represent their interests.

This can produce political apathy.

People may stop voting.

They may stop participating.

They may stop believing.

Distrust and Anger

When citizens believe that public resources are being distributed unfairly, frustration can grow.

Political disagreements can become more intense.

Social divisions can deepen.

Institutional Weakness

Corruption can undermine institutions designed to provide checks and balances.

If oversight bodies lose credibility, accountability becomes weaker.

If citizens lose faith in elections, courts or public administration, the entire political system can become vulnerable.

The Brain Drain

Professionals may seek opportunities elsewhere when they believe that merit is consistently defeated by corruption and connections.

When talented people leave, the society loses skills, experience and potential leadership.

The Dangerous Cycle

Political corruption can produce public distrust.

Public distrust can produce apathy.

Apathy can reduce accountability.

Reduced accountability can create greater opportunity for corruption.

And the cycle begins again.

Breaking this cycle requires citizens and institutions that are willing to demand integrity, transparency and responsibility.

CHAPTER TEN

THE POWER OF TRANSPARENCY AND ACCOUNTABILITY: BUILDING BETTER SYSTEMS

If corruption can become systemic, fighting it must also involve systemic solutions.

Punishing individuals is necessary when wrongdoing is established.

But punishment alone is not enough.

If the system remains unchanged, another person may eventually repeat the same behaviour.

Open Government

Citizens have a legitimate interest in knowing how public resources are managed.

Transparency can include accessible information about budgets, procurement, public projects and institutional decisions.

Strong Institutions

Institutions should not depend entirely on the character of individual officeholders.

A good system should make responsible behaviour easier and corrupt behaviour more difficult.

Independent Justice

The rule of law must be credible.

People must believe that investigations and legal proceedings can operate without improper interference.

Whistleblower Protection

People who expose wrongdoing may face serious personal and professional risks.

Effective whistleblower protection can encourage individuals to report corruption without fearing retaliation.

Accountability at the Top

Accountability should not apply only to junior employees.

A credible institution must demonstrate that responsibility extends upward.

When powerful people are treated as untouchable, institutional integrity suffers.

Transparency Is Not Enough

Publishing information does not automatically create accountability.

Citizens must be able to understand the information.

Oversight bodies must be able to investigate it.

Authorities must be willing to act on credible findings.

Transparency is therefore most effective when combined with independent oversight and meaningful consequences.

CHAPTER ELEVEN

THE INDIVIDUAL'S ROLE: CULTIVATING A CULTURE OF INTEGRITY

Systems matter.

Institutions matter.

Laws matter.

But people operate systems.

Therefore, the individual cannot escape responsibility.

Ethical Leadership

Leadership communicates values.

When leaders demonstrate integrity, they send a powerful message.

When leaders tolerate corruption, they send another message.

Employees often learn more from what leaders do than from what leaders say.

Personal Accountability

Every individual eventually encounters ethical choices.

A person may not control the entire system.

But a person can control many personal decisions.

Will I falsify the information?

Will I accept the improper payment?

Will I use public property for private purposes?

Will I favour someone unfairly?

Will I remain silent when I have a reasonable and safe opportunity to report wrongdoing?

Teaching Integrity

Anti-corruption education should begin early.

Children should learn that honesty is not merely a rule imposed by adults.

Integrity is a social value.

Young people should understand the connection between seemingly small dishonest acts and larger institutional problems.

Collective Action

One honest person may feel powerless.

Many honest people acting together are much harder to ignore.

Citizens, professionals, religious organisations, civil society groups, journalists and community leaders can contribute to a culture in which corruption is questioned rather than normalised.

The Courage to Say No

Sometimes the most powerful anti-corruption action is remarkably simple:

“No.”

No to the bribe.

No to the favour.

No to falsifying the record.

No to abusing authority.

No to benefiting unfairly.

Integrity is not always dramatic.

Sometimes it is simply the decision not to participate.

CHAPTER TWELVE

THE GLOBAL FIGHT: INTERNATIONAL COOPERATION AND BEST PRACTICES

Corruption can cross borders.

Money can move internationally.

Companies can operate across several countries.

Assets obtained through wrongdoing may be transferred to jurisdictions far from where the original misconduct occurred.

Therefore, fighting corruption increasingly requires cooperation beyond national borders.

International Standards

International agreements and institutions provide frameworks through which countries can cooperate against corruption.

The United Nations Convention against Corruption (UNCAC) is an important international framework addressing prevention, criminalisation, international cooperation, asset recovery and related areas.

Following the Money

One of the most important principles in fighting major corruption is to follow financial trails.

Where did the money originate?

Where did it go?

Who controlled it?

Who ultimately benefited?

Financial investigation can sometimes reveal relationships that ordinary investigations cannot.

Asset Recovery

When public resources are stolen and transferred abroad, recovering those resources can be complex.

Legal systems, financial institutions and governments may need to cooperate.

Asset recovery is important not merely because money should be returned.

It also communicates a powerful principle:

Corruption should not be a profitable crime.

Civil Society

Governments cannot fight corruption alone.

Civil society organisations can monitor public spending, educate citizens, advocate for reforms and encourage accountability.

The Global Lesson

No country has discovered a magical formula that eliminates corruption forever.

Progress requires constant vigilance.

Institutions must adapt.

Laws must be enforced.

Citizens must remain engaged.

Technology can create new opportunities for transparency, but it can also create new opportunities for fraud and concealment.

The fight therefore continues.

CONCLUSION

THE CONTINUOUS STRUGGLE FOR A CORRUPT-FREE SOCIETY

We began with a question:

Who corrupts who?

After examining individuals, institutions, politics, business, culture, public services and international systems, the answer is more complicated than it first appeared.

Sometimes individuals corrupt institutions.

Sometimes institutions create conditions that encourage individuals to become corrupt.

Sometimes businesses influence government improperly.

Sometimes government officials exploit businesses and citizens.

Sometimes society normalises practices that should be rejected.

Sometimes people remain silent because they have lost hope.

Corruption is therefore not a single problem with a single solution.

It is a network of relationships, incentives, choices and weaknesses.

But this complexity should not become an excuse for hopelessness.

The fact that corruption is complicated does not mean that it is impossible to confront.

Change Begins Somewhere

Every institution can become more transparent.

Every leader can become more accountable.

Every citizen can make more ethical choices.

Every professional can refuse to participate in wrongdoing.

Every organisation can strengthen its internal controls.

Every society can challenge the belief that corruption is simply “the way things are.”

Change does not always begin with a dramatic revolution.

Sometimes it begins with one person refusing to participate.

One official refusing a bribe.

One manager choosing merit over favouritism.

One journalist asking an uncomfortable question.

One citizen demanding an explanation.

One institution publishing information that previously remained hidden.

One young person deciding that success does not require dishonesty.

The Responsibility of the Powerful

Those entrusted with greater power carry greater responsibility.

Power creates opportunities.

It can be used to serve or to exploit.

The higher the position, the greater the potential consequences of abuse.

Leadership must therefore be understood not as a privilege alone but as a responsibility held in trust.

The Responsibility of the Ordinary Citizen

Yet ordinary citizens are not powerless.

A citizen who offers a bribe participates in corruption.

A citizen who refuses an improper payment helps resist it.

A person who uses connections to bypass fairness contributes to the problem.

A person who insists on fair procedures strengthens the system.

The question therefore returns to each of us.

What do I do when corruption offers me an advantage?

The Final Question

Perhaps the title of this book should no longer be understood as asking only:

“Who is corrupting whom?”

It should also ask:

“What am I doing to prevent corruption from corrupting me?”

Because corruption does not always arrive as a dramatic invitation to commit a crime.

Sometimes it arrives as a small favour.

A secret payment.

A dishonest signature.

A manipulated document.

A relative's unfair advantage.

A silent agreement.

A convenient lie.

And the person may tell himself:

“It is only this once.”

But integrity is built in the same way.

One honest decision.

One refusal.

One act of courage.

One commitment to fairness.

A Vision for the Future

A corrupt-free society does not mean a society in which no human being ever makes a dishonest decision.

Human beings are imperfect.

The realistic goal is a society in which corruption is difficult to practise, difficult to hide, difficult to justify and costly to sustain.

Such a society requires strong institutions.

It requires ethical leadership.

It requires active citizens.

It requires independent oversight.

It requires responsible businesses.

It requires courageous journalism.

It requires education.

And above all, it requires a culture that stops celebrating dishonest success.

The struggle against corruption is therefore not merely the responsibility of governments.

It belongs to everyone.

The public official.

The businessperson.

The professional.

The religious leader.

The teacher.

The journalist.

The student.

The parent.

The citizen.

And the person who believes that their small decision cannot possibly matter.

It matters.

Because systems are built from individual choices.

Cultures are built from repeated behaviour.

Institutions are shaped by the people who operate them.

And societies are transformed when enough people decide that what is wrong will no longer be treated as normal.

So, after everything we have examined, the question remains:

WHO CORRUPTS WHO?

Perhaps the answer is:

We can corrupt one another.

But we can also influence one another toward integrity.

The same society that normalises corruption can normalise honesty.

The same institution that protects wrongdoing can protect accountability.

The same individual who once participated in corruption can choose to change.

And the same generation that inherited corrupt practices can decide not to pass them on.

The future of integrity is therefore not predetermined.

It is being created by the choices we make today.

AUTHOR'S FINAL REFLECTION

Corruption asks society to compromise one principle at a time.

Integrity asks society to defend one principle at a time.

The difference between the two may ultimately depend on what we choose when nobody appears to be watching.

Who corrupts who?

The answer begins with me.

And perhaps that is where the fight against corruption must begin.

SELECTED BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Cambridge University Press. (2016). Rose-Ackerman, S., & Palifka, B. J. *Corruption and Government: Causes, Consequences, and Reform* (2nd ed.). Cambridge University Press.
- Klitgaard, R. (1988). *Controlling Corruption*. University of California Press.
- Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD). (2016). *Preventing Corruption in Public Procurement*. OECD Publishing.
- Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD). (2017). *OECD Integrity Review of Mexico: Taking a Stronger Stance Against Corruption*. OECD Publishing.
- Transparency International. (2024). *Corruption Perceptions Index 2024*. Transparency International.
- United Nations. (2004). *United Nations Convention Against Corruption*. United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime (UNODC).
- United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime (UNODC). (2013). *Guidebook on Anti-Corruption in Public Procurement and the Management of Public Finances*. United Nations.
- World Bank. (2020). *Anticorruption Fact Sheet*. World Bank Group.
- World Bank. (2026). *Combating Corruption*. World Bank Group.
- Johnston, M. (2005). *Syndromes of Corruption: Wealth, Power, and Democracy*. Cambridge University Press.
- Rose-Ackerman, S. (1999). *Corruption and Government: Causes, Consequences, and Reform*. Cambridge University Press.
- Heidenheimer, A. J., Johnston, M., & LeVine, V. T. (Eds.). (1989). *Political Corruption: A Handbook*. Transaction Publishers.

Mbaku, J. M. (2007). *Corruption in Africa: Causes, Consequences, and Cleanups*. Lexington Books.